




1. ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	1.1	<p>Monitor repeat callers to the Police, MAASBT and RSLs.</p> <p>Monitor all repeat callers to identify those who may be vulnerable. Provide support to them via the ASB Victim/Witness Support Officer.</p> <p>Baseline: 369 repeat callers in 2011/12 (3 or more calls)</p>	47 repeat callers in Q1	172 repeat callers identified from April to end of September which include duplicate callers to both Police and Council.	57 repeat callers in Q3 229 YTD 62% of baseline		☺
	1.2	<p>Reduce the perception that ASB is a serious problem.</p> <p>Clarify what types of issues residents consider anti social, whether they report this and if so to whom (via focus groups). Measure satisfaction and perception levels in the Viewpoint/Council yearly survey.</p> <p>Baseline: 23.2% of residents perceived high levels of ASB from the Place Survey 2008</p>	No perception survey completed this year to date	Focus Groups requested for November 2012 – awaiting confirmation.	Focus Groups are on hold whilst View Point Panel undergoes a re-structure. The NE Resident survey 2012 highlighted that ASB remains a concern. However, when this was further questioned all residents said they didn't think ASB was a big problem. (54% people questioned had not experienced ASB).		☺
	1.3	<p>Reduce Anti Social Behaviour in the borough.</p> <p>Achieve a reduction in the number of Police recorded ASB incidents and service requests dealt with by the MAASBT.</p> <p>Baseline: 2011/12</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Police – 14,965 • MAASBT – 3,573 	<p>Police – 9,192 incidents YTD = -23% reduction on baseline</p> <p>MAASBT – 1,195 SR dealt with YTD = 33.8% increase on baseline</p>	<p>There has been a reduction in police recorded ASB and an increase on service requests to the ASB Team which may be attributed to an increased profile of the service offered. There were 6,299 incidents FYTD which is 16% reduction on 2011/12 baseline. However, MAASBT recorded 2,507 SR dealt with YTD, which equates to a 40.3% increase on the baseline.</p>	<p>Police – 9,192 incidents YTD = -23% reduction on baseline</p> <p>MAASBT – dealt with 3,598 SR YTD</p> <p>This is an increase on the baseline for service requests for the MAASBT. However, all agencies encourage residents to report incidents of ASB directly to the MAASBT which could be attributed to the increase.</p>		☹


2. ALCOHOL RELATED CRIME AND ASB	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	2.1	<p>Increase the number of section 27 notices issued.</p> <p>Aim for an overall increase</p> <p>Baseline: 392 in 2011/12</p>	<p>120 section 27 notices issued in Q1; an increase of +22.4% on baseline.</p>	<p>237 Section 27 Notices issued YTD, this is an 19.7% increase on the baseline.</p>	<p>324 Section 27 Notices issued YTD, on track to achieve.</p>		😊
	2.2	<p>Reduce the number of alcohol related crimes for those on an ATR.</p> <p>Cohort taken using ATR data to identify offending one year prior, during and one year after an ATR.</p> <p>Baseline: 2011/12</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 79 starts • 35 completions • 13 breaches 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 19 starts • 11 completions • 7 breaches <p>Increase in breaches against baseline</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15 starts • 19 completions • 0 breaches <p>Increase in breaches against baseline YTD</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12 starts • 14 completions • 2 breaches <p>Increase in breaches against baseline YTD</p>		☹️
	2.3	<p>Reduce the number of alcohol related crimes for those on an ASAR</p> <p>Cohort taken using ASAR data to identify offending one year prior, during and one year after an ASAR.</p> <p>Baseline: 2011/12</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 53 starts • 18 completions • 3 breaches 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11 starts • 10 completions • 4 breaches <p>Increase in breaches against baseline</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 starts • 12 completions • 2 breaches <p>Increase against baseline YTD</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 starts • 11 completions • 1 breach <p>Increase in breaches against baseline</p>		☹️

3. VIOLENCE AGAINST THE PERSON TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	3.1	<p>Maintain a reduction in Violence with Injury</p> <p>Reduce year on year</p> <p>Baseline: 100 SVCs recorded in 2011/12</p> <p>Violence with Injury Baseline : 1128 violence with injury recorded in 2011/12</p>	<p>Most Serious Violent Crimes can no longer be calculated. New target of Violence With Injury is proposed for 2012-13. Performance against new target is Most Serious Violence FYTD = 12 -56% reduction on baseline NB Amended in Q2</p>	<p>Police – Most Serious Violence FYTD is 23 which a -53% reduction on baseline.</p>	<p>It was decided that the figures for MSV was found not to be comparable due to recording changes, therefore reverted to reporting on Violence with Injury.</p> <p>Violence with Injury: Financial Year to date = 859 Same period 2011-2012 = 848 Difference = +11 offences or +1.3%</p>		☹️
	3.2	<p>Reduce Violence Against the Person associated with the night-time economy</p> <p>Reduce the number of offences in Stockton Town Centre and Yarm wards</p> <p>Baseline: 2011/12</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stockton Town Centre – 506 • Yarm - 46 	<p>126 offences in STC ward in Q1 = -0.4% on baseline</p> <p>11 offences in Yarm ward in Q1 = -4.3% on baseline</p>	<p>240 offences in STC ward YTD = +3.9% on baseline</p> <p>19 offences in Yarm ward YTD = +20% on baseline</p>	<p>367 offences in STC ward YTD = +5.2% on baseline comparable to the same period last year</p> <p>40 offences in Yarm ward YTD = +27.5% on baseline comparable to the same period last year</p> <p>A reduction in incidents for STC potentially due to the lack of trade, an increase within Yarm due to popularity.</p>		☹️

3. V	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	3.3	<p>Reduce repeat perpetrators of Domestic Violence</p> <p>Reduce the number of perpetrators of DV who re-offend 6 months after completing a programme year on year.</p> <p>Baseline: 23 repeat perpetrators in 2011/12 known to Police prior to the completion of the programme</p>	<p>In Q1 75% of men had no police call-outs 6 months after completing the programme.</p>	<p>During Q2, 94% of men had no police call outs within 6 months of completing the perpetrator programme.</p>	<p>Q3 data unavailable</p>		-
	3.4	<p>Increase the number of repeat victims in MARAC accessing support from Harbour.</p> <p>Increase the take up of support year on year. Aim to increase the % rate of take up based on number accessing treatment as a proportion of all of repeats.</p> <p>Baseline: 46 individuals identified as repeat referrals, 36 engaged with Harbour (70%) in 2011/12</p>	<p>In Q1 21 were repeat referrals of which 13 engaged with Harbour ie 62%</p>	<p>During Q2 there were 13 repeat referrals to MARAC and 6 of these engaged with Harbour (46%) Possible explanations in relation to dealing with fewer repeat victims and slip in engagement numbers due to capacity to support.</p>	<p>During Q3 there were 9 repeat referrals to MARAC and 7 of these engaged with Harbour (78%). Good result for Q3 however YTD not reaching baseline with 60.4% engagements</p> <p>Not likely to achieve target</p>		

4. DRUG RELATED OFFENDING TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	4.1	<p>Increase the number of female drug users accessing support.</p> <p>Increase year on year</p> <p>Baseline: 365 females in treatment in 2010/11 (26% of total in treatment)</p>	<p>Q1 2012/13 – 27.28%</p> <p>(26.8% Q1 2011/12)</p> <p>(311 individuals in treatment ytd)</p>	<p>Q2 2012/13 – 26.65%</p> <p>(26.3% Q2 2011/12)</p> <p>(324 individuals in treatment ytd.)</p>	<p>Quarter 3 data not yet available</p>		-
	4.2	<p>Increase the number of people leaving treatment services drug free.</p> <p>Develop wrap around support services for substance misusers in the treatment system with a focus on abstinence.</p> <p>Baseline: 50% planned exits in 2011/12; 144 out of 288 total exits</p>	<p>56% of exits were planned in Q1 (38 out of 76); this is a 6% increase on the baseline.</p>	<p>50.2% of exits were planned in Q2 (ytd). We believe the Q1 improvement was largely a result of data cleansing.</p>	<p>Quarter 3 data not yet available.</p> <p>A new Public Health Indicator 2.15 (Successful Completion of Drug Treatment) is now available. This measures the number of drug users that left drug treatment successfully (free of drug(s) of dependence) who do not then re-present to treatment again within six months as a proportion of the total number in treatment.</p>		-
	4.3	<p>Reduce the number of individuals repeatedly testing positive for drugs within Arrest Referral.</p> <p>Use IOM and DIP to provided wrap around intensive support.</p> <p>Baseline: 52 individuals tested positive three or more times in 2011/12*</p> <p>NB: baseline re-set using new repeat identification methodology</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to end Q1:</p> <p>47 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions; a -9.6% reduction on baseline.</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to the end of September 2012;</p> <p>42 people tested positive on three or more occasions which is a reduction of 19% on the baseline.</p>	<p>Changes to Arrest referral practice from October 2012 has stopped the testing of those currently engaging in treatment. We are working on further improvements to the DIP process following an impact assessment produced January 2013. New indicators supporting this will be suggested shortly.</p>		-

5. CRIMINAL DAMAGE TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	5.1	Reduce Criminal Damage Maintain a reduction in offences of criminal damage by achieving a reduction year on year. Baseline: 2,472 crimes in 2011/12	492 offences recorded in Q1; a -16.3% reduction on the baseline.	995 offences recorded for FYTD; a 20% reduction on the baseline.	1895 offences recorded for FYTD; a 10.2% reduction on the baseline.		
5.2	Reduce the number of repeat victims of Criminal Damage. All repeat victims to be visited to identify cause and implement actions to reduce victimisation. Aim for a reduction in numbers year on year. Baseline: 34 individuals victim on three or more occasions in 2011/12* NB: baseline re-set using new repeat identification tool methodology	32 individual victims on three or more occasions in rolling 12 months to end of Q1; a reduction of two on baseline year.	26 individual victims on three or more occasions in rolling 12 months to end of Q2; a reduction of eight which is a 24% reduction on the baseline year.	22 individual victims on three or more occasions in rolling 12 months to end of Q3; a reduction of 12 which is a 35% reduction on the baseline year.			

6. EMERGING ISSUES TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	6.1	Reduce offending among the most prolific offenders Reduction in the number of convictions for all those on IOM (PPOs, HCCs & DRRs). Baseline: 2011/12 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PPOs – 85 convictions HCCs – 245 convictions DRRs – 117 convictions 	Reductions YTD for PPOs & HCCs but increase for DRRs; PPOs – 10 convictions = -3 (-7.5%) HCCs– 33 convictions = -113 (-46.1%) DRRs – 35 convictions = +23 (+19.6%)	Reductions YTD for PPOs & HCCs but increase for DRRs; PPOs- 23 convictions = 0 (0%) HCCs 80 convictions= -85 (34.7%) DRRS 66 convictions = + 15 (+12.8%)	Reductions YTD for PPOs & HCCs and DRRs PPOs 33 convictions= 0 (0% reduction) HCCs 117 convictions= 36.7 % reduction DRRS 94 convictions= 19.6% reduction		
6.2	Research emerging issues throughout the year Carry out at least four problem profiles / in-depth analyses throughout the year into emerging issues. Baseline: four analyses documents	First document produced on Parkfield and Oxbridge ward was presented to SSP 26 th June 2012. Second document relating to offenders in treatment is scheduled for Sept SSP.	Drug related offending document was presented and discussed at SSP on 25 th September 2012.	IOM report was presented at 18 December 2012 meeting, to promote further discussion.		